ASSESSMENT FOR CERTIFICATION: FUTURE OF EDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT POLICY, RESEARCH AND PRACTICE

BAYODE Elizabeth Funmilayo
Department of General Education
Kogi State College of Education (Technical), Mopa,
lizzy.bayode@kscoetechkabba.edu.ng

ALEGIEUNO Evarista Aghama
Department of Educational Evaluation and Counseling Psychology.
Faculty of Education.
University of Benin, Benin City.
evarista.alegieuno@uniben.edu.ng

Abstract

The field of educational assessment is rapidly evolving due to the development of policies, new research paradigms, and innovative practices. Certification assessment is a crucial part of validating individual skills, abilities and acts as a gateway to educational and professional opportunities. This paper delves into the intersection of certification assessment with the future of educational assessment policy, research, and practice. Drawing on a synthesis of current literature and emerging trends, this paper explored the challenges, opportunities, and implications of the evolving landscape of certification assessments. It focused on important areas such as integrating technology in assessments methodologies, shifting towards competency-based assessment frameworks, and ensuring equity and accessibility in certification processes. It also examined the role of certification assessment in addressing emerging needs in education and workforce development, including identifying diverse forms of knowledge and skills. Aligning certification assessment practices with future trends in educational assessment, stakeholders can enhance the relevance, validity, and fairness of certification processes. This facilitates the recognition and mobility of individuals within evolving educational and professional ecosystems. Based on the findings, it was recommended that community-based learning centers should be set up in rural and underserved areas with internet access and digital devices to ensure that everyone have equal access; there is need to develop assessment instruments that are sensitive to diverse cultural backgrounds and experiences of learners. These recommendations are made with emphasis to inform policy, practice, and research agendas aimed at advancing the effectiveness and quality of certification assessment in an era of educational transformation.

Keywords: Certification, Educational Assessment, Competency-Based Assessment, Technology Integration and Equity.

Introduction

Assessment is a systematic collection, review, and use of qualitative and quantitative data to improve students' learning and development. Certification is formal process that verifies an individual expertise, knowledge, and skills in a specific profession, occupation, or industry. It is a way to demonstrate that an individual has met certain standards, requirements, and qualifications in their field. Effective assessment is crucial for evaluating student learning outcomes and informing instructional decisions. To accurately capture the diverse strengths and needs of learners, educators require reliable and valid assessment tools. A well-designed assessment plan should identify areas for improvement, guide instructional strategies, and enhance student achievement. Regular, periodic assessments are necessary to monitor progress, adjust instruction, and ensure alignment with learning objectives (Guskey & Yoon, 2009). This approach ultimately supports improved student outcomes and informed instructional practices.. With the difficulties faced by educational systems throughout the globe in addressing the demands of a varied student body and preparing them for a world that is becoming more linked and complicated, evaluation plays an ever more important role in education (Adeyemi &Babalola, 2018).

In educational reform initiatives aimed at improving the worth and pertinence of learning opportunities for every student, evaluation policies and procedures are essential in propelling systemic transformation. Bazeley and Jackson (2013) claimed that certification methods help ensure the validity and dependability of assessment outcomes by establishing norms of professionalism and competence. According to Downing (2019), the certification assessment expert is fundamental for ensuring the validity and efficiency of assessment procedures.

In Nigeria's educational assessment context, three prominent organizations, the West African Examinations Council (WAEC), the National Examination Council (NECO), and the National Business and Technical Examinations Board (NABTEB), play significant roles in developing and administering national examinations. Although their primary focus is not solely on setting standards and certifying assessment, they contribute to the country's assessment landscape. WAEC and NECO focus on secondary school certificate examinations, while NABTEB specializes in technical and vocational education assessments, providing certifications for skills acquisition and entrepreneurship development.. These organizations are widely recognized for their contributions to the education sector in Nigeria (Ololube, 2016). The future of education evaluation presents both opportunities and challenges. Learning analytics and artificial intelligence, rapidly evolving technologies, offer new prospects for customized and adaptable assessment methods (Cook, Beckman, and Pankratz, 2016). According to Adeyanju and Adu (2019), these changes generate concerns about equity, privacy, and ethical use of assessment data.

Although technology access varies by region and socioeconomic status, ensuring inclusive and equitable evaluation procedures remains crucial. The evaluation for certification pertains to policy, practice, and research in the domain of educational assessment. By using existing knowledge, analyze current trends, predict future changes, and propose ideas to enhance certification procedures in alignment with evolving demands and objectives in educational evaluation. The present state of educational assessment, the progressions and advancements in this field, and their potential impact on standards and certification procedures will be examined

in the following sections. This inquiry contributes to the ongoing dialogues about how to effectively prepare for certifying professionals in the face of opportunities and challenges presented by the rapidly changing landscape of educational assessment.

The State of Educational Assessment Today

Assessment methods have undergone significant changes in the modern educational environment, incorporating a wide range of methodologies intended to evaluate student learning outcomes and inform pedagogical decision-making. Traditional summative assessments, such as final examinations and standardized tests, continue to be important tools for evaluating student performance and tracking academic progress, there is a growing recognition of their limitations in capturing the complexity of student learning experiences (Bennett, 2020; Pellegrino, 2022). Additionally, research has shown that over-reliance on traditional summative assessments can lead to a narrow focus on rote memorization and test-taking strategies, rather than deeper learning and understanding (Kuh et al., 2020).This understanding has led to an increasing emphasis on formative assessment strategies, which prioritize providing students with immediate feedback to support their learning and development (Brookhart, 2017). Formative assessment techniques, such as quizzes, peer evaluation, and classroom observations, allow teachers to quickly identify areas of strength and weakness in students and offer targeted interventions to improve their learning outcomes (Sadler, 2019). Additionally, there is a noticeable shift towards evaluations that are more performance-based and realistic, reflecting actual-world activities and challenges (Wiggins, 1990). According to Gronlund and Brookhart (2009), performance assessments, which include projects, portfolios, and presentations, allow students to demonstrate their knowledge, skills, and competencies in authentic settings, promoting greater student engagement and more meaningful learning experiences. The rapid advancements in technology have significantly impacted the way educational evaluation is carried out today. This has led to the emergence of new possibilities for innovative assessment techniques (Cook, Beckman, & Pankratz, 2016). The use of computer-based tests, online learning platforms, and digital tools for data analysis has completely transformed the administration, scoring, and analysis of assessments. As a result, evaluation procedures have become more flexible, scalable, and efficient (Macdonald & Wisdom, 2019). Personalizing examinations to meet the unique requirements and preferences of each student can significantly enhance the validity and reliability of assessments (Bennett, 2020). This approach acknowledges that students learn in different ways and at different paces, and that a one-size-fits-all approach to assessment can be limiting (Hativa, 2020). By incorporating personalized elements into examinations, educators can promote individualized learning experiences that cater to the diverse needs and abilities of their students (Pellegrino, 2022). The concept of personalized assessments is grounded in the theory of differentiated instruction, which emphasizes the importance of tailoring instruction to meet the unique needs and abilities of each student (Tomlinson, 2020). Research has shown that personalized assessments can lead to improved students outcomes, including increased motivation, engagement, and academic achievement (Black & Wiliam, 2020).These technological advancements have made this possible.

However, despite these developments, it is still challenging to ensure that evaluation procedures are fair, valid, and reliable, particularly in addressing issues of bias, equity, and accessibility

(Schwartz, 2019). Socioeconomic differences can affect test performance, underscoring the need for fair evaluation procedures, especially in standardized testing situations (Burgess and Sievertsen, 2020). There are also concerns about the authenticity and validity of assessment findings, which raises questions about the alignment between assessments and the intended learning objectives (Livingston & Zieky, 2012). The policies and procedures for educational assessments in Nigeria are influenced by both local and international factors. NECO and WAEC are standard-setting organizations that are instrumental in maintaining the credibility of assessments. The adoption of computer-based testing in Nigerian universities is hindered by challenges related to infrastructure and technological equity.

Innovations in Assessment for Certification
Assessment certification is changing due to technology, pedagogy, and education paradigms. This section covers advancements in certification assessment, with perspectives from past studies.

1. **Adaptive Assessment Platforms**
Adaptive assessment platforms are innovative ways to evaluate certification. These platforms utilize algorithms and data analytics to personalize the assessment experience for individual learners. The difficulty level and content are adjusted based on learners' responses in real time, making it a unique experience for each individual. The potential of adaptive assessment platforms to enhance the validity and reliability of assessments was highlighted by Downing and Yudkowsky in 2009. They emphasized the importance of providing tailored feedback and adapting to learners' unique needs. In Nigeria, adaptive assessment platforms are gaining momentum, especially in computer-based testing in higher education institutions. Nigerian authors stress the role of adaptive assessments in mitigating biases and ensuring fairness in certification processes, particularly for diverse learner populations.

2.**Block chain-Based Credentialing**
 Block chain technology is revolutionizing the certification process by providing secure and tamper-proof credentialing solutions. Digital credentials stored on blockchain platforms offer immutable records of learners' achievements, enhancing the transparency and integrity of certification processes (Froehlich, Kohli, & Kulich, 2020). Foreign experts highlight the potential of blockchain-based credentialing to combat credential fraud and streamline verification processes across global borders (Gierl & Haladyna, 2013). In Nigeria, there are emerging initiatives for blockchain-based credentialing to address the challenges of certificate verification and authentication. Nigerian scholars emphasize the role of blockchain technology in creating a decentralized and trustworthy ecosystem for certifying academic and professional achievements, thus enhancing the credibility and portability of Nigerian certifications (Oyedeji& Adu, 2019).

3. **Micro-Credentialing and Stackable Certifications**
 Micro-credentialing and stackable certifications are changing traditional certification pathways by offering modular and flexible credentialing options. Learners can earn micro-credentials for specific skills or competencies, which can then be combined to form larger certifications or qualifications (Livingston & Zieky, 2012). Foreign authors highlight the agility and responsiveness of micro-credentialing frameworks in meeting the rapidly changing demands of

the labour market (Swanson, 2020).In Nigeria, micro-credentialing initiatives are gaining traction as a way to address skills gaps and enhance workforce readiness. Nigerian scholars advocate for the integration of micro-credentials into formal education and training programmes to provide learners with clear pathways for skill development and career advancement (Babalola & Adeyemi, 2018).

4. Competency-Based Certification Frameworks

Emerging as a revolutionary approach to evaluating and acknowledging learners' abilities and accomplishments are competency-based certification frameworks. These frameworks establish precise competencies or performance standards that learners must display to receive certifications, with a focus on practical application rather than memorization (Schuwirth and van der Vleuten, 2011). Experts from foreign countries highlight the potential of competency-based certification frameworks in bridging the gap between education and employment by aligning certification requirements with industry needs (Downing, 2019).

In Nigeria, competency-based certification frameworks are being developed and executed in various sectors, such as vocational and technical education. Nigerian authors emphasize the importance of competency-based assessments in validating learners' abilities and skills, thereby improving their employability and professional advancement opportunities (Adeyemi and Babalola, 2018).

The Future of Educational Assessment

Education is changing and so is its assessment. This impacts teaching, learning, and policy. The future of educational assessment includes:

1. Technological Advancements and Digital Transformation

The future of educational assessment is being shaped by the rapid progress of technology, resulting in changes to assessment practices. Cook, Beckman, and Pankratz (2016) suggested that technology-enhanced assessments, such as computer-based testing, online platforms, and digital tools for data analysis, have the potential to revolutionize assessment administration, scoring, and analysis. Through these technological advancements, assessments can be made more flexible, scalable, and efficient, allowing educators to personalize assessments based on individual student needs and preferences, as Macdonald and Wisdom (2019) pointed out. While the use of technology in educational assessment has become increasingly popular, there are still challenges to its widespread adoption, as highlighted by Adeyanju and Adu (2019) who emphasize the significant progress of computer-based testing in Nigerian higher education institutions. In the Nigerian context, barriers to the adoption of technology-enhanced assessments include issues of technological equity, digital infrastructure, and digital literacy.

2. Competency-Based Certification

Certification that focuses on demonstrating specific knowledge, skills, and abilities rather than completing formal education or training programmes is becoming a future trend. Competency-based approaches are seen as beneficial in preparing individuals for the demands of rapidly changing industries and occupations, according to Swanson (2020) and Downing (2019). Competency-based certification offers a more personalized and adaptable approach to credentialing, allowing individuals to showcase their capabilities without relying on traditional educational pathways.

Nigeria's recent educational reforms reflect a move towards competency-based certification, according to (Schmidt 2018). These reforms aim to align certification standards with industry needs and workforce demands. Adeyemiand Babalola (2018) have called for the adoption of competency-based assessment frameworks in vocational and technical education, emphasizing the need to bridge the gap between certification requirements and industry expectations.

3. Global Recognition and Mobility

Efforts are expected to increase in prioritizing global recognition and mobility in certification, as industries and labour markets continue to globalize. Switzer (2020) conducted a study on the impact of certification on organizational performance, and suggested that internationally recognized certifications can enhance workforce competencies and competitiveness. The use of global certification standards and frameworks established by international organizations like International Standard Organization (ISO) and Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) is likely to increase the prominence of certifying professionals across diverse sectors and regions. The National Board for Technical Education (NBTE) and the Nigerian Institute of Management (NIM) are working to align certification programmes in Nigeria with international standards and best practices, to increase global recognition of certifications. Meyers (2021) stressed the importance of quality assurance mechanisms in online higher education to ensure that digital certifications are recognized and credible in the global market.

4. Ethical Considerations and Quality Assurance

It is important to ensure that ethical practices and quality assurance mechanisms are in place as certification standards continue to evolve. Case (2019) highlighted the significance of competency-based assessment models and professional standards in acknowledging the ethical dimensions of certification in counselling. Upholding the credibility and integrity of certification processes requires ethical considerations, such as transparency, impartiality, and fairness. In the same vein, it is essential to establish robust assessment and evaluation frameworks to uphold the quality and relevance of certifications, particularly in emerging fields such as early childhood education. Quality assurance mechanisms, such as accreditation, continuous improvement processes, and peer review, play a significant role in ensuring that certifications meet both industry standards and societal needs, as stressed by the American Educational Research Association (2014).

Challenges and Considerations in Assessment for Certification

Innovations in assessment for certification hold promise for enhancing educational outcomes and workforce readiness, they also present a range of challenges and considerations that must be addressed to ensure their effective implementation. This section explores key challenges and considerations facing certification for assessment, drawing insights from both foreign and Nigerian authors.

1. **Digital Divide and Technological Accessibility**
   Assessment for certification faces a major challenge when it comes to the digital divide and the issues of technological accessibility. Even though digital platforms can offer opportunities to expand access to certification programmes, people in underserved communities still experience disparities in access to technology and internet connectivity (Burgess and Sievertsen, 2020). According to foreign authors, if

certification processes rely solely on digital platforms without considering individuals with limited access to technology, the risk of exacerbating inequality is high (Swanson, 2015).

In rural and remote areas with inadequate infrastructure, the digital divide poses a significant barrier to equitable certification practices (Oyedeji and Adu, 2019). It is important to address technology accessibility issues by implementing initiatives such as community-based learning centres and mobile-friendly assessment platforms. Such initiatives are essential to ensure that learners from all backgrounds have equal opportunities to participate in certification programmes.

2. **Validity and Reliability of Assessments**
Maintaining the accuracy and dependability of evaluations presents a challenge in certification assessments. With the increasing complexity and diversity of assessment techniques, keeping up with the validity of certifications becomes more difficult (Downing, 2003). Foreign authors emphasize the significance of rigorous validation procedures in guaranteeing that assessments precisely measure the intended competencies or outcomes (Kane, 2006). Nevertheless, achieving high levels of validity while considering practical factors such as cost and efficiency remains a persistent challenge. The validity and reliability of assessments are further complicated by cultural diversity and linguistic variations, according to Oyedeji and Adu (2019). Nigerian scholars recommended using culturally appropriate assessment methods and translating assessment materials into local languages to improve the validity and impartiality of certification procedures (Adeyanju and Adu, 2019).

3. **Credential Fraud and Misrepresentation**
Fraud and misrepresentation related to credentials can significantly damage the credibility of certification assessments. The rise of digital credentials and online verification platforms has made it easier for people to manipulate or falsify certification records, according to Froehlich, Kohli, and Kulich (2020). As noted by foreign authors, Gierl and Haladyna (2013) emphasized the need for robust verification mechanisms and blockchain-based credentialing solutions to combat credential fraud and ensure certification authenticity. In Nigeria, credential fraud is an extensive issue in sectors like education and healthcare (Oyedeji and Adu, 2019). Adeyanju and Adu (2019) highlighted the importance of strengthening regulatory oversight and implementing stringent verification procedures to detect and deter credential fraud effectively.

4. **Ethical and Cultural Considerations**
The process of certifying assessments presents complex challenges related to ethical and cultural considerations, especially in multicultural and diverse societies. According to Schwartz (2019), assessment practices should be culturally sensitive and inclusive, taking into account the diverse backgrounds and experiences of learners. However, it can be difficult to balance cultural considerations with the need for standardized assessment criteria and universal competency standards. In Nigeria, certification assessments emphasize ethical considerations such as fairness, transparency, and confidentiality (Oyedeji and Adu, 2019). Nigerian scholars highlight the importance of maintaining ethical standards and cultural sensitivity in assessment practices,

particularly about indigenous knowledge systems and traditional learning environments (Adeyanju and Adu, 2019).Addressing the challenges and considerations in certification assessments requires a multifaceted approach that integrates technological innovation, rigorous validation processes, fraud prevention mechanisms, and cultural sensitivity. By recognizing and addressing these challenges, stakeholders can work towards creating more equitable, valid, and reliable certification systems that uphold the integrity of educational and professional credentials.

Conclusion
The certification assessment process is crucial for validating an individual's skills and competencies. However, challenges such as technological accessibility, assessment validity, credential fraud, and cultural sensitivity need to be addressed to maintain the integrity and fairness of certification processes. The future of certification assessment will be shaped by innovative trends, including technologies such as adaptive assessment platforms, blockchain-based credentialing, and micro-credentialing (Pellegrino, 2022). Collaborative efforts are needed to bridge the digital divide, combat credential fraud, and promote ethical and culturally sensitive assessment practices. Future research should focus on evaluating the effectiveness of emerging technologies and innovative practices in certification assessment. Overall stakeholders must address key challenges to ensure that certification assessment remains a reliable and relevant mechanism for validating individuals' skills and competencies in an increasingly complex and dynamic world (Mislevy, 2020). This requires ongoing efforts to stay abreast of emerging trends and technologies, as well as a commitment to continuous improvement and innovation in assessment design and delivery.

Recommendations
To establish a comprehensive framework for certification assessments that prioritizes inclusivity, validity, and reliability, we need to address the following key areas:
1. **Bridging the Digital Divide and Enhancing Technological Accessibility**:
a. Community-based learning centres should be established in rural and underserved areas, providing internet access and digital devices to ensure equal access for all (West, 2022). These centers will offer learners the necessary resources to access assessment materials and educational content (Hill, 2020).
b. Developing mobile-friendly assessment platforms is crucial, allowing easy access to assessments using mobile devices (Kim et al., 2022). This approach enables learners to conveniently access and complete assessments from any location using their mobile devices.
c. Providing digital literacy training for both assessors and learners is essential (Warschauer, 2020). This training will ensure that all stakeholders are proficient in leveraging technology for educational purposes.
d. Partnerships with telecoms, banks, and NGOs are vital to ensure affordable technology access for all (Digital Divide Council, 2022). By forging partnerships, this initiative seeks to overcome financial barriers to accessing technology.
2. **Ensuring Validity and Reliability**
a. There is a need to develop assessment instruments that are sensitive to diverse cultural backgrounds and experiences of learners (Gay, 2020). This approach will help ensure

that assessments are culturally inclusive and relevant to all learners (Banks, 2022). Research has shown that culturally responsive assessments can improve student engagement and motivation, leading to better academic outcomes (Ladson-Billings, 2020).

b. Implementing rigorous validation processes using multiple evaluation methods is essential to ensuring the accuracy and fairness of assessments (AERA, 2020). This approach is designed to ensure that assessments accurately measure the intended competencies of learners (Mislevy, 2020). Validation processes should include multiple evaluation methods, such as content validation, criterion validation, and construct validation (Kane, 2020).

c. Regularly reviewing and updating assessment content to align with Nigeria's educational and industry needs is crucial to maintaining the relevance and reliability of assessments (Eraut, 2020). Regular review and update processes will ensure that assessments remain relevant and reflective of evolving educational needs (Boud, 2020).

d. Providing training for assessors on bias awareness and cultural sensitivity is essential to ensuring fair assessment practices (Gay, 2020). This training is vital in minimizing the impact of personal biases and promoting fair and equitable assessment practices (Ladson-Billings, 2020).

3. **PreventingCredential Fraud and Misrepresentation**

a. Implementing blockchain-based credentialing solutions will provide secure and decentralized credential verification, reducing the risk of fraud and misrepresentation (Chen et al., 2020). By leveraging blockchain technology, the framework aims to enhance the security and integrity of credentialing processes.

b. Establishing robust verification mechanisms for assessment results and credentials will further enhance the security and reliability of the certification process (Pellegrino, 2022). These mechanisms are intended to verify the authenticity of credentials and prevent fraud and misrepresentation.

c. Ensuring the secure storage and sharing of credential-related data on online platforms will be essential in preventing unauthorized access and fraud (Kumar et al., 2020). This measure is crucial in safeguarding the integrity and confidentiality of credential-related data.

d. Regular audits and monitoring will help in detecting and preventing fraud, ensuring the integrity of the certification process. These proactive measures, if designed and implemented effectively, will uphold the credibility and reliability of the credentialing system (Pellegrino, 2022).

4. **Fostering Ethical and Cultural Considerations**

a. Providing education on ethical standards and cultural sensitivity for all stakeholders is essential to promoting a fair and inclusive assessment environment (Gay, 2020). This training is integral in promoting ethical conduct and cultural awareness in the assessment process.

b. Developing assessment content that incorporates diverse perspectives and experiences ensures that assessments are relevant to the local context (Banks, 2022). This approach aims to ensure that assessments are inclusive and reflective of the varied backgrounds and experiences of learners.

c.   Considering traditional learning environments and knowledge systems in assessment content helps make assessments more inclusive and relevant (Semali, 2020). This measure recognizes and respects diverse forms of available knowledge and learning practices.

d.   Establishing feedback mechanisms for learners and stakeholders ensures that assessments are continuously improved based on the participants' needs and perspectives (Boud, 2020). By enabling open feedback mechanisms, the framework promotes transparency and continuous improvement in the assessment process.

References

Adeyanju, C. T. & Adu, E. T. (2019). Challenges of computer-based test (CB) usage in Nigerian higher institutions. *Journal of Educational Evaluation*, 6 (2), 1-12.

Adeyemi, B. A. & Babalola, J. B. (2018). Bridging the gap between industry and education: A case for competency-based assessment in vocational and technical education. *Journal of Vocational Education and Training*, 70(1), 1-19.

AERA (American Educational Research Association). (2020). Standards for educational and psychological testing.

American Educational Research Association. (2014). Standards for educational and psychological testing. Washington, DC: American Educational Research Association.

Babalola, J. B. & Adeyemi, B. A. (2019). Educational assessment policy and practice in Nigeria: Challenges and prospects. Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy and Practice, 25(3), 257-276.

Banks, J. A. (2022). Diversity, equity, and social justice: Educating for citizenship in a multicultural society. Teachers College Press.

Boud, D. (2020). Assessment and the promotion of learning. Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 45(1), 1-13.

Bazeley, P. & Jackson, K. (2013).Qualitative data analysis with NVivo. Sage Publications.

Bennett, R. E. (2020). The future of assessment: A review of the literature. Educational Measurement: *Issues and Practice*, 39(1), 6-18.

Black, P. & Wiliam, D. (2020). Inside the black box: Raising standards through classroom assessment. Phi Delta Kappan.

Brookhart, S. M. (2017). How to give effective feedback to your students. ASCD Express, 12(12).

Burgess, S. &Sievertsen, H. H. (2020). Schools, skills, and learning: The impact of COVID-19 on education. VoxEU.org, 20 (20), 1- 4.

Case, S. (2019). Assessment in counseling: A guide to competency-based assessment models. American Counseling Association.

Chen, Y. Li, H. & Li, X. (2020). Blockchain-based credential verification for education. *Journal of Educational Technology Development and Exchange*, 13(1), 1-18.

Cook, D. A. Beckman, T. J. &Pankratz, V. S. (2016). Efficiency in learning: Evidence-based guidelines to manage cognitive load. Medical Teacher, 38(2), 155-162.

Digital Divide Council. (2022). Bridging the Digital Divide: A Framework for Action.

Downing, S. M. &Yudkowsky, R. (2009). Assessment in health professions education. Routledge.

Downing, S. M. (2019). Assessment of competence and competency development. Springer.

Eraut, M. (2020).Developing professional knowledge and competence. Routledge.

Froehlich, D. E. Kohli N. & Kulich S. J. (2020). Developing and enhancing certification programmes. Wiley.

Gay, G. (2020). Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice. Teachers College Press.

Gierl, M. J. &Haladyna, T. M. (2013). Technology and testing: Improving educational and psychological measurement. Routledge.

Gronlund, N. E. &Brookhart, S. M. (2009). How to design and evaluate research in education. McGraw-Hill.

Guskey, T. R. & Yoon, K. S. (2009). Improving teaching and learning through classroom assessment. Routledge.

Hativa, N. (2020). Differentiated instruction: A review of the literature. Journal of Educational Research, 113(4), 419-433.

Hill, H. (2020). The digital divide in rural America. Journal of Rural Social Sciences, 35(1), 1-15.

Kane, M. T. (2020). Validation: A review of the literature. Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice, 39(1), 19-32.

Kane, M. T. (2006). Validation. In R. Brennan (Ed.), Educational measurement (4th ed. pp. 17-64). Westport, CT: American Council on Education/Praeger.

Kuh, G. D. Jankowski, N. Ikenberry, S. O. & Kinzie, J. L. (2020). Fostering student success: What works? Jossey-Bass. *Sciences*, 35(1), 1-15.

Kim, J. Lee, Y. & Kim, B. (2022). Development of a mobile-based assessment system for enhancing student learning. *Journal of Educational Technology Development and Exchange*, 14(1), 1-20.

Kumar, P., Sharma, S., & Singh, R. (2020). Secure storage and sharing of credential-related data using blockchain technology. *Journal of Information Security and Applications*, 55, 102514.

Ladson-Billings, G. (2020). Culturally relevant pedagogy: A framework for teaching diverse learners. Teachers College Press.

Livingston, S. A. & Zieky, M. J. (2012). The role of psychometrics in educational reform: Policy and practice issues. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 31(4), 16-25.

Linn, R. L. & Miller, M. D. (2005).Measurement and assessment in teaching. Prentice Hall.

Macdonald, R. & Wisdom, J. (2019). Assessment in health professions education. Abingdon, England: Taylor and Francis.

Meyer, R. (2022). Importance of international accreditation for global recognition for higher education. *International Journal of Education and Practice*, 1(5), 195-199.

Mislevy, R. J. (2020). Socio-cognitive foundations of educational assessment. *Educational Psychologist*, 55(2), 1-13.

Ololube, N. P. (2016). The role of WAEC and NECO in ensuring standards in Nigerian education. Journal of Educational and Social Research, 6 (2), 97-106.

Oyedeji, S. A., & Adu, E. T. (2019). Educational assessment and technological equity in Nigeria.*Educational Research and Reviews*, 14 (6), 215-222.

Pellegrino, J. W. (2022). Assessment as a tool for learning: A review of the literature. *Measurement: Interdisciplinary Research and Perspectives,* 20(1), 1-13.

Pellegrino, J. W. (2022). Assessment as a tool for learning: A review of the literature. *Measurement: Interdisciplinary Research and Perspectives*, 20(1), 1-13.

Sadler, D. R. (2019). Assessment and evaluation in higher education: An IIEP/WAAS

handbook.Routledge.

Schmidt, J. (2018). Implementation of the competency-based curriculum in pre-service nursing education. *International Journal of Nursing Service*, 8, 53-58.

Schwartz, N. (2019). Fairness and bias in assessment. *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Education*. Oxford University Press.

Schuwirth, L. W. & van der Vleuten, C. P. (2011). Programmatic assessment: From assessment of learning to assessment for learning. Medical Teacher, 33 (6), 478-485.

Semali, L. M. (2020). Cultural diversity and education: Foundations, curriculum, and teaching. Routledge.

Swanson, D. B. (2020).Foundations of clinical and translational science. Springer.

Switzer, T. H. (2020). Certification and its impact on organizational performance: A case study analysis. *Journal of Management Development*, 39 (5), 673-685.

Tomlinson, C. A. (2020). The differentiated classroom: Responding to the needs of all learners. Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Warschauer, M. (2020). Technology and social inclusion: Rethinking the digital divide. MIT Press.

West, D. M. (2022). Digital divide: Improving internet access in rural America. Brookings Institution.

Wiggins, G. (1990). The case for authentic assessment. Practical Assessment, *Research and Evaluation,* 2 (2), 1-2.